

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

ESTATE OF LAUREN MASI, et al.,

2:12-cv-0478-LDG-PAL

Plaintiffs,

V.

HARTFORD LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY,
et la.,

Defendants.

On March 28, 2012, defendants filed a motion to dismiss (#4); on April 25, 2012, defendants filed a status report indicating that plaintiffs had not timely responded to the motion to dismiss (#7); and on January 18, 2013, defendants filed a notice of non-opposition to their motion to dismiss (#8). Pursuant to LR 7-2 (d) (the failure of an opposing party to file points and authorities in response to any motion shall constitute a consent to the granting of the motion), and based on the merit of the arguments presented in the motion to dismiss,

THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that defendants' motion to dismiss (#4) is GRANTED.

DATED this 12 day of January, 2013.

Lloyd D. George
United States District Judge